

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,208	/829,208 04/22/2004		Yong-Chol Kwon	IK-0088	5539
34610	7590	04/05/2006		EXAMINER	
FLESHNEI P.O. BOX 22		I, LLP	TRAN, HA	NH VAN	
	CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3637	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/829,208	KWON, YONG-CHOL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hanh V. Tran	3637		
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exten- after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Ja</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositio	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicatio	Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8-24 is/are pending in the asta) Of the above claim(s) 15 and 18-24 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16-17 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine	vithdrawn from consideration. r election requirement.			
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the following(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 10/829,208 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This is the Final Office Action from the examiner in charge of this application in response to applicant's amendment dated 1/12/2006.

#### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I in the reply filed on 1/12/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination of the entire application could be made without serious burden. This is not found persuasive because the field of search for Species I may not be required for Species II.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 3. Claims 15, 18-24 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 1/12/2006.
- 4. Applicant's remarks regarding Figure 4 is noted with appreciation.

## **Drawings**

5. Figure 4 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the

Application/Control Number: 10/829,208 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, line 9, "both sidewalls" of the receiving box lacks antecedent basis, thus indefinite. Claim 4, lines 2-3, the limitation "a guide rail is provided for each side end of each receiving box" is vague, thus indefinite for failing to clearly define what is "side end" of each receiving box.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1-4, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 308,156 to Hamilton.

Hamilton discloses a mounting structure comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Fig 1, a receiving chamber cover (a,b) defining a receiving space, a receiving box h' installed in the partitioned space and having a receiving space being open at an upper portion thereof, a plurality of guide

Application/Control Number: 10/829,208 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

rails **d** provided at positions on the receiving chamber cover corresponding to inner sides of two sidewalls of the receiving box, a plurality of guide flanges **f** provided at upper ends of both sidewalls of the receiving box and extending in an inward direction with respect to the receiving space, wherein the plurality of guide flanges are positioned so as to correspond to the plurality of guide rails **d**; wherein each guide flange of the plurality of guide flanges is supported and guided by a respective guide rail of the plurality of guide rails, and are formed such that leading ends thereof protrude by a predetermined length inwardly with respect to the sidewalls of the receiving box so as to face each other.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-14, 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 5,044,704 to Bussan et al in view of USP 1,558,506 to Riek and USP 308,156 to Hamilton.

Art Unit: 3637

Bussan et al discloses a refrigerator comprising a mounting structure having all the elements recited in the above listed claims including a receiving chamber cover 30; two receiving boxes 20-22each having a receiving space being open at an upper portion thereof; a plurality of guide rails provided on the receiving chamber cover 30 corresponding to inner sides of the sidewalls of the receiving box and extending along the receiving chamber cover in a direction in which the receiving box is pushed into and pulled out, wherein a common guide rail is provided at a position where the two receiving boxes are adjacent to each other, said common guide rail configured to define channels on opposite sides thereof, and each guide rail comprises a guide channel; a plurality of guide flanges provided at upper ends of both sidewalls of the receiving box, wherein said plurality of guide flanges are positioned so as to correspond to the plurality of guide rails. The differences being that Bussan et al fails to disclose that the guide channels of the guide rails face outward with respect to the receiving space, the guide flanges extending in an inward direction with respect to the receiving space.

Riek and Hamilton teaches the it is well known in the art to provide a plurality of guide rails, each having a guide channel which faces outward with respect to the receiving space of a drawer, and a plurality of guide flanges extending in an inward direction with respect to the receiving space; wherein the outward facing guide channels of the guide rails and the inward extending direction of the guide flanges provides no projecting ribs or the like upon the outer surfaces of the sides of the receiving boxes and, consequently, it is not necessary to enlarge or specially shape the receiving box opening to permit the movement of the receiving box therein, such that all parts of the

Art Unit: 3637

receiving boxes and their supports are located between the planes occupied by the sides of the receiving box. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the structure of Bussan et al by having the guide channel faces outward with respect to the receiving space of the receiving box, and the plurality of guide flanges extending in an inward direction with respect to the receiving space; wherein the outward facing guide channels of the guide rails and the inward extending direction of the guide flanges provides no projecting ribs or the like upon the outer surfaces of the sides of the receiving boxes and, consequently, it is not necessary to enlarge or specially shape the receiving box opening to permit the movement of the receiving box therein, such that all parts of the receiving boxes and their supports are located between the planes occupied by the sides of the receiving box, as taught by Riek and Hamilton, since the references teach alternate conventional guide rails and guide flanges structures, used for the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed.

### Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 9/27/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument on page 13 that Hamilton neither discloses nor suggests any type of inward facing guide flanges, not any type of guide rails, let alone guide rails which include outward facing guide channels, the examiner takes the position that as stated in the above art rejection, the claimed language fails to provide adequate structural limitations in order to distinguish from Hamilton. Further, the inward facing guide flanges of Hamilton are being defined by grooves *f*, and the guide rails are being defined by tongues *d*.

Art Unit: 3637

14. Applicant's arguments with respect to Riek, Vance, Smith, and Smith in view of Bussan et al have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,208

Art Unit: 3637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 31, 2006

LANNA MAI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600** 

Page 8